
Leveraging the bio-economy to drive sustainable development: a comprehensive review

Tarawneh, R. A.¹, Abu Harb, S.¹, Dayoub, M.² and Al-Najjar, K.^{3*}

¹Department of Economics, Faculty of Agriculture, Jerash University, 26150 Jerash City, Jordan;

²Department of Computing, Faculty of Technology, Turku University, 20014 Turku City, Finland; ³General Commission for Scientific Agricultural Research, GCSAR 12573 Damascus City, Syria.

Tarawneh, R. A., Abu Harb, S., Dayoub, M. and Al-Najjar, K. (2025). Leveraging the bio-economy to drive sustainable development: a comprehensive review. *International Journal of Agricultural Technology* 21(1):327-338.

Abstract Bio-economy is emerging as a new beacon of hope in a world moving towards sustainability. Renewable biological resources drive it. Moreover, it holds a great promising for a sustainable future to offer a significant economic benefits, such as job creation and reducing fossil fuel dependence. However, its potential can only be realized fully by addressing key challenges, including the need for technological innovation and robust policy frameworks. This study is explored the economic and governance dimensions of the bio-economy, with a focus on strategies for large-scale implementation and its role in achieving sustainable development goals. The findings are highlighted the bio-economy's ability to enhance economic resilience, create jobs, and mitigate climate change and regulatory hurdles. It is underscored the importance of innovation, global collaboration, and varying levels of progress across different regions. Strong governance and policy support are crucial, alongside adopting circular economy practices and advanced technologies like blockchain. These approaches can help to maximize the bio-economy's contribution to sustainable development which is addressed the issues like poverty, food security, and climate change while driving economic growth.

Keywords: Bio-economy, Circular economy, Governance, Renewable resources, Sustainable, Technological innovation

Introduction

The bio-economy offers a sustainable alternative to traditional economic models (Donner, 2021). By utilizing renewable biological resources, it can reduce reliance on fossil fuels, create jobs, and stimulate economic growth (Mutezo and Mulopo, 2021). However, realizing the full potential of the bio-economy requires overcoming challenges such as technological advancements, supportive policies, and market acceptance (Salvador *et al.*, 2022). By addressing these factors, we can transition to a more sustainable and prosperous future.

* **Corresponding Author:** Al-Najjar, K.; **Email:** khnajj2011@yahoo.com

The bio-economy, an economic model that utilizes renewable biological resources from sectors such as agriculture, forestry, and fisheries, is considered a potential approach to achieving sustainable development (Diakosavvas and Frezal, 2019). By integrating environmental principles with economic theory, the bio-economy aims to align economic growth with environmental conservation (D'Amato and Korhonen, 2021). However, implementing the bio-economy requires an effective understanding of its financial and managerial dimensions (Reim *et al.*, 2018).

While existing research on the bio-economy has delved into its theoretical foundations and potential advantages (Wei *et al.*, 2022), a critical knowledge gap persists regarding the concrete strategies and policies needed to successfully transition to a large-scale bio-based economy. Bridging this gap is vital for formulating effective transition plans that can foster the growth of bio-based industries and economies.

In Jordan, Al-Lataifeh *et al.* (2024) highlight that economic challenges pose a significant barrier to adopting smart farming technologies, suggesting that strategic investments and collaboration can help address these obstacles. Similarly, Abu Harb *et al.* (2024a) emphasize the importance of tailored agricultural entrepreneurship programs that account for economic disparities and socioeconomic factors to enhance learning outcomes and promote equity. Moreover, Abu Harb *et al.* (2024b) underscore the critical role of technology adoption in improving livelihoods and productivity, which is closely tied to economic conditions. Building on this, Tarawneh *et al.* (2022) advocate for agricultural extension programs to prioritize small farmers and cooperatives by increasing financial support, strengthening collaboration with NGOs, and focusing on fieldwork and advisory services to address economic challenges. Finally, Tarawneh and Al-Najjar (2023) stated the economic importance of agricultural loans in enhancing productivity and livelihoods through investments in small-scale water resources, recommending fair distribution, diversified options, and favorable terms to ensure the sustainability of the agricultural sector.

This study is delved into the economic and governance dimensions of the bio-economy, exploring how these factors shape its development and unlock its potential. It highlights policies and strategies to amplify the bio-economy's role in sustainable development by driving economic growth, overcoming governance challenges, and advancing environmental sustainability. By addressing critical economic hurdles, governance frameworks, and the transformative benefits of transitioning to a bio-based economy, such as poverty reduction, food security, and climate change mitigation, this research provides actionable insights. Policymakers, businesses, and researchers will find valuable

guidance to position the bio-economy as a cornerstone of a sustainable and equitable future.

Procedures

A comprehensive literature review was carried out using Google Scholar to explore the relationship between the bio-economy, innovation, management, and sustainable development. The review focused on studies published in English from 2017 to 2024, employing a targeted search strategy with keywords including "bio-economy", "innovation", "management", "sustainable development", "economic impact", and "policy". This approach ensured a thorough examination of recent research addressing the economic and policy dimensions of sustainable development within the context of the bio-economy.

Out of an initial 138 studies identified, 51 were selected based on inclusion criteria that required a direct focus on these topics. The review extracted key data, including each study's research objectives, findings on the bio-economy's impact on sustainable development, and insights into the benefits and challenges associated with its adoption.

The extracted data underwent thematic analysis, which uncovered recurring patterns and themes. These patterns provided a clearer understanding of how the bio-economy contributes to sustainable development goals, highlighting opportunities for economic growth, governance improvements, and environmental sustainability.

While the review was limited to English-language studies and a specific publication timeframe, a rigorous and systematic methodology ensured the reliability of the findings. The results offer meaningful insights into the bio-economy's role as a transformative approach for sustainable economic and environmental progress.

Opportunities and challenges in promoting the bio-economy

The bio-economy is gaining recognition as a key driver of sustainable development and economic growth. According to Tarazona *et al.* (2022), it leverages biological resources and processes to create innovative solutions that address global challenges. Technological advancements in biotechnology and renewable energy play a pivotal role by reducing dependence on fossil fuels, thereby enhancing economic independence and promoting sustainability (Rial, 2024). Furthermore, recent studies by Wagh *et al.* (2024) and Saini and Mishra (2024) demonstrated the bio-economy's potential to strengthen economic resilience, foster environmentally friendly technologies, and align with the

United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This emerging sector not only supports green innovation but also offers practical pathways toward a more sustainable and equitable future.

Despite its potential, the bio-economy faces notable challenges that could hinder its development. High research and development costs, complex regulatory landscapes, and market volatility are significant obstacles, as highlighted by Mahjoub and Domscheit (2020). Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive policy frameworks that are flexible enough to adapt to rapid technological advancements. Additionally, the transition to a bio-economy necessitates transformative changes in social and economic structures. As Bastos Lima and Palme (2022) emphasize, these shifts risk deepening inequalities if marginalized communities are excluded from decision-making processes and equitable access to resources and opportunities. Ensuring inclusivity and fairness will be critical for the bio-economy to achieve its full potential as a sustainable and equitable system.

Addressing these challenges through effective research and development (R & D) strategies, streamlined regulations, and cross-sector collaboration is essential to achieving competitiveness (Vogler *et al.*, 2023). Governments, private sectors, and academia must collaborate to ensure that the bio-economy emphasizes innovation and social equity. Continuous public engagement and education are vital to building societal support for bio-economy initiatives.

The bio-economy presents significant promise for sustainable development, but its success depends on adopting a holistic approach that balances economic viability with social equity, ensuring that its benefits are accessible to all segments of society. Technological advancements and regional strategies play a pivotal role in shaping the global bio-economy, with leading countries such as Germany, Finland, and France making notable strides in R and D, bioenergy, and agricultural innovations, respectively (Dolge *et al.*, 2023). However, national approaches to the bio-economy often result in fragmentation, which can impede international collaboration and the sharing of best practices. To maximize the potential of the bio-economy, a more coordinated global effort is needed to foster cooperation and standardize successful strategies across regions.

Financial incentives and subsidies can promote sustainable practices but may also create market imbalances and conflicts of interest if not carefully managed (Saka *et al.*, 2023). Regulatory frameworks must strike a balance between fostering innovation and ensuring safety, which requires ongoing dialogue among stakeholders to maintain public trust.

Totally, the bio-economy represents a complex interplay of technology, regional strategies, and regulation. To realize its full potential, stakeholders must

navigate challenges related to regional disparities, conflicts of interest, and adaptive regulation. Emphasizing collaboration, inclusivity, and a long-term vision will be critical for fostering a sustainable and resilient bio-economy.

Role of governance and policy frameworks in advancing the bio-economy

The bio-economy leverages renewable resources to deliver environmental and social benefits, such as reducing environmental impacts and improving quality of life (Barañano *et al.*, 2020). However, its development is hindered by several challenges, including land-use changes, loss of biodiversity, and the competition for land between food production and biomass cultivation. Addressing these issues requires effective management strategies to promote sustainability, ensuring that the benefits of the bio-economy are distributed equitably and do not exacerbate existing economic disparities. Sustainable practices and careful planning are essential to overcoming these challenges and achieving long-term success.

Venkatesh (2022) highlights the importance of ethical considerations, such as policy transparency, workers' rights, and the fair distribution of benefits, as essential for maximizing the bio-economy's societal impact (Hemalatha *et al.*, 2023). To meet these ethical standards, research and development must prioritize responsible practices, as noted by Swensson and Tartanac (2020). Additionally, Dietz (2022) emphasized that methodological rigor in bio-economy research is crucial for informing effective policies. A comprehensive evaluation of practical implementation is necessary, especially in sectors where complex regulations may hinder transparency and fairness.

A well-integrated policy framework is vital for a thriving bio-economy, promoting collaboration between public and private sectors while ensuring sustained funding for research and workforce training (Borsellino *et al.*, 2019). Effective governance must balance environmental protection, economic growth, and social justice through inclusive policies and stakeholder engagement (Wilkes, 2022). However, challenges such as aligning diverse interests and political fluctuations can impede implementation and financial support. Workforce training must also adapt to rapid technological changes to prevent skills obsolescence.

The success of the bio-economy hinges on the implementation of strong national strategies and clear policies that effectively address both domestic and global challenges (Stephenson and Damerell, 2021). While international collaboration is essential for sharing knowledge and fostering innovation, varying national interests can complicate the allocation of resources and compliance with regulations, as noted by Pandey and Sagar (2022). To ensure

fairness and accountability, regulatory frameworks must be designed in a way that minimizes the compliance burdens on smaller enterprises, which may otherwise hinder their innovation potential. To maximize the impact of the bio-economy, continuous policy evaluation and adaptation are crucial in responding to emerging challenges and opportunities.

In bio-industries such as biotechnology and pharmaceuticals, regulation plays a critical role in balancing innovation with public health and safety (Jacques, 2020; Liang *et al.*, 2021). The renewable energy sector also faces significant challenges, including grid integration and energy storage, which require a comprehensive policy approach (Tan *et al.*, 2021). Effective governance, aligned with sustainability principles, is key to addressing these barriers (Escoto *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, varying national regulations can create compliance challenges for multinational companies, underscoring the need for streamlined, harmonized regulations that balance safety concerns with the promotion of innovation.

The bio-economy offers significant potential for advancing environmental and social benefits, particularly in sustainability and resource management. However, it also presents several challenges that must be carefully addressed. Ethical concerns surrounding genetic modification and risks to biodiversity are major issues that could undermine its positive impacts. In addition, the lack of a comprehensive governance framework and the possibility of unintended consequences pose risks to the long-term viability of the bio-economy. Therefore, it is crucial to critically assess its potential, understand the associated risks, and develop strategies to mitigate them before fully adopting this economic shift.

One example of the bio-economy in practice is a Dutch dairy farm that transitioned to algae-based feed instead of conventional fishmeal and soy. This shift led to improvements in livestock health, enhanced milk quality, and a reduction in methane emissions. It also demonstrated the economic benefits of sustainable practices, including increased market appeal and reduced production costs (Asambo, 2017). This case highlights the potential for bio-economy initiatives to promote both environmental sustainability and economic efficiency.

Bio-economy for poverty reduction, food security, climate change mitigation

The bio-economy has the potential to enhance economic resilience, promote sustainability, and create jobs, provided that innovations, collaborations, and supportive policies are in place (Stephenson and Damerell, 2021). It contributes to poverty reduction, food security, and climate change mitigation through sustainable practices, offering solutions to global issues (Pathirana,

2022). Strong governance and policies that foster innovation and international cooperation are essential to unlocking this potential, but challenges, such as conflicts of interest, must be addressed (Jiang, 2023). However, the bio-economy often approaches these issues from an idealistic perspective, lacking practical strategies for ensuring the presence of innovations and supportive policies, particularly in developing countries that may lack resources. It also fails to address adequately the social and cultural challenges that may arise during implementation.

Bio-industries must advance technology and resource management to ensure stable food supplies and enhance global food security (Awasthi *et al.*, 2020). This involves optimizing agriculture and reducing waste to improve food production efficiency.

The renewable energy sector is rapidly expanding, driven by technological advancements and supportive policies aimed at phasing out fossil fuels (Strielkowski *et al.*, 2020). This includes growing bioenergy sources like biofuels and biogas.

However, the bio-economy's full potential hinges on overcoming key challenges. These include improving energy storage, integrating renewables into existing grids, and developing infrastructure for large-scale bioenergy production and distribution (Vidhya *et al.*, 2024). Addressing these challenges is critical for a sustainable and efficient bio-economy.

Barriers such as unequal access to technology, political hurdles, and the complexities of integrating diverse energy systems present significant obstacles. Additionally, assuming the bio-economy will automatically address poverty ignores issues like inequitable resource distribution and concentrated corporate control, which can limit its benefits for marginalized communities. Overcoming these challenges is critical to ensuring the bio-economy contributes to sustainable development and equitable outcomes.

The bio-economy offers potential solutions to global challenges such as poverty, food insecurity, and climate change (Barañano *et al.*, 2020). In resource-rich regions like Latin America and Africa, it can play a particularly significant role (Perišić *et al.*, 2021). Current research focuses on developing circular economies for bio-products, biomass refineries, and advancements in biofuels and biodegradable plastics (Awasthi *et al.*, 2020). While these developments are promising, they also present challenges that require careful evaluation of their effectiveness and feasibility. Attention must be paid to equity concerns regarding local communities and the environmental impacts of new technologies, particularly in terms of lifecycle assessments and market dynamics.

Emerging trends in the bio-economy emphasize significant advancements in advanced biofuel technologies and the development of biodegradable plastics,

reflecting a shift toward more sustainable alternatives (Jain *et al.*, 2021). Achieving success in this sector requires the establishment of comprehensive policies that balance environmental, economic, and social priorities, while also fostering collaboration among diverse stakeholders (Hamdan *et al.*, 2021). Continued investment in research and workforce development is essential for building the foundation of a sustainable bio-economy (Secundo *et al.*, 2020). Although technological innovations offer promising solutions, their effectiveness largely depends on the implementation of robust government policies that integrate the multifaceted goals of sustainability.

Integrating circular economy principles into bio-refineries involves utilizing advanced biotechnologies, AI for optimization, and blockchain for supply chain transparency (Mukherjee *et al.*, 2023). This approach promotes sustainable product life cycles by reducing waste and optimizing resource use (Zhang *et al.*, 2021). While it holds promise for improving efficiency and accountability, concerns arise regarding reliance on technology, potential environmental impacts, and significant investments needed for widespread adoption.

Managing the bio-economy poses challenges, as sustainability can mask underlying issues such as ecological strain and inequality. Waste management and biodiversity may be compromised for profit, while the green economy can often be more marketing than substance. Collaboration is skewed frequently by power imbalances favoring influential players.

A critical analysis of the bio-economy

The bio-economy stands at the forefront of sustainable development, promising not only economic growth but also opportunities for poverty reduction and environmental stewardship (Sharma and Malaviya, 2023). We explore this dynamic landscape, we must navigate the significant challenges that accompany it, including environmental impacts, social equity, and effective governance.

Biofuel production raises significant environmental concerns, including deforestation, land degradation, and water pollution (Tarazona *et al.*, 2022; Prävālie, 2021), all of which harm ecosystems and contribute to climate change. The increasing use of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) in agriculture also poses risks, such as biodiversity loss and potential health issues (Uzoh and Babalola, 2018). Effective management is essential to ensure that economic growth does not come at the expense of environmental sustainability.

Social equity plays a significant role in the bio-economy, as the benefits and challenges of biofuel production are unevenly distributed. Research highlights that large corporations and wealthy landowners often reap the most advantages from biofuel initiatives, while small-scale farmers and marginalized

communities are frequently excluded from these opportunities (Nambiar, 2019). Furthermore, transitioning to a bio-economy involves substantial financial investments, which can deepen existing social and economic inequalities by creating barriers that prevent disadvantaged groups from participating and competing effectively.

Inclusive strategies are needed to ensure fair distribution of bio-economy benefits and minimize environmental damage. To ensure the bio-economy flourishes, robust governance and regulatory frameworks are essential. Without them, the temptation for short-term exploitation could jeopardize long-term sustainability (Holland and Shapira, 2024). Therefore, it is crucial to implement policies that not only protect our environment but also champion social equity, fostering a responsible and inclusive approach to bio-economy development.

In essence, the bio-economy is more than just an economic shift; it is an invitation to rethink our relationship with resources and one another. By embracing a critical and inclusive mindset, we can unlock the transformative potential of the bio-economy while effectively addressing its inherent risks.

Conclusion remarks

The bio-economy has significant potential for sustainable development and economic growth by leveraging renewable biological resources to create jobs in sectors like biotechnology, renewable energy, and sustainable agriculture. However, to realize fully this potential, challenges such as high R and D costs, regulatory complexities, and market volatility must be addressed through strong governance and clear policy frameworks. The bio-economy is crucial for tackling global issues like poverty, food security, and climate change. Future trends, such as circular economies and biodegradable materials, underscore the need for comprehensive policies that balance economic, social, and environmental considerations. A collaborative approach involving governments, the private sector, and civil society is essential to maximize the bio-economy's benefits and promote a sustainable future. Research highlights the importance of consistent policies and improve governance in the bio-economy, emphasizing R & D, workforce training, and international cooperation. Prioritizing new technologies, sustainable practices, and robust monitoring systems will be vital for achieving environmental and economic goals.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to Jerash University, Turku University, and the General Commission for Scientific Agricultural Research for their essential contributions and support, which were instrumental in facilitating this research.

References

- Abu Harb, S., Tarawneh, R. A., Abu Hantash, K. L. A., Altarawneh, M. and Al-Najjar, K. (2024a). Empowering Rural Youth through Sustainable Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Peer Learning In Agriculture. *Hunan Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences*, 61. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14058869>
- Abu Harb, S., Dayoub, M. and Al-Najjar, K. (2024b). The impact of agricultural extension program effectiveness on sustainable farming: A survey article. *International Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 20:477-492.
- Al-Lataifeh, F. A., Tarawneh, R. A., Al-Taha'at, E. S. Dayoub, M., Sutinen, E. and Al-Najjar, K. (2024). Smart Technologies for Livestock Sustainability and Overcoming Challenges: A Review. *Journal of Xi'an Shiyou University, Natural Sciences Edition*, 67. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13744058>
- Asambo, J. A. (2017) Alternative farming practices and the potential contributions towards a bio-based economy: case study of Dutch dairy farming practices. Essay (Master Thesis), Behavioural, Management and Social Sciences, environmental science, Environmental and Energy Management MSc. <https://purl.utwente.nl/essays/77502>
- Awasthi, M. K., Sarsaiya, S., Patel, A., Juneja, A., Singh, R. P., Yan, B., Awasthi, S. K., Jain, A., Liu, T., Duan, Y., Pandey, A., Zhang, Z. and Taherzadeh, M. J. (2020). Refining biomass residues for sustainable energy and bio-products: An assessment of technology, its importance, and strategic applications in circular bio-economy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 127:109876.
- Barañano, L., Garbisu, N., Alkorta, I., Araujo, A. and Garbisu, C. (2020). Contextualization of the Bioeconomy Concept through Its Links with Related Concepts and the Challenges Facing Humanity. *Sustainability*, 13:7746.
- Bastos Lima, M. G. and Palme, U. (2022). The Bioeconomy–Biodiversity Nexus: Enhancing or Undermining Nature’s Contributions to People? *Conservation*, 2:7-25.
- Borsellino, V., Schimmenti, E. and El Bilali, H. (2019). Agri-Food Markets towards Sustainable Patterns. *Sustainability*, 12:2193.
- D'Amato, D. and Korhonen, J. (2021). Integrating the green economy, circular economy and bioeconomy in a strategic sustainability framework. *Ecological Economics*, 188:107143.
- Diakosavvas, D. and Frezal, C. (2019), "Bio-economy and the sustainability of the agriculture and food system: Opportunities and policy challenges", *OECD Food, Agriculture and Fisheries Papers*, No. 136, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/d0ad045d-en>
- Dietz, T., Börner, J., Förster, J. J. and Von Braun, J. (2022). Governance of the Bioeconomy in Global Comparison. In: Thrän, D., Moesefechtel, U. (eds) *The bioeconomy system*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-64415-7_23
- Dolge, K., Balode, L., Laktuka, K. *et al.* (2023). A Comparative Analysis of Bioeconomy Development in European Union Countries. *Environmental Management*, 71:215-233.
- Donner, M. (2021). How to innovate business models for a circular bio-economy? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30:1932-1947.
- Escoto, X., Gebrehewot, D. and Morris, K. (2022). Refocusing the barriers to sustainability for small and medium-sized manufacturers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 338:130589.
- Hamdan, H. A., Andersen, P. H. and De Boer, L. (2021). Stakeholder collaboration in sustainable neighborhood projects—A review and research agenda. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 68:102776.
- Hemalatha, P., Abda, E. M., Shah, S., Venkatesa Prabhu, S., Jayakumar, M., Karmegam, N., Kim, W. and Govarthanan, M. (2023). Multi-faceted CRISPR-Cas9 strategy to reduce plant based food loss and waste for sustainable bio-economy – A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 332:117382.

- Holland, C. and Shapira, P. (2024). Building the bioeconomy: A targeted assessment approach to identifying biobased technologies, challenges and opportunities. *Engineering Biology*, 8:1-15.
- Jacques, P. (2020). Bio-industry in the Age of the Transition to Digital Technology: Significance and Recent Advances. 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119779698.ch1>
- Jain, A., Sarsaiya, S., Kumar Awasthi, M., Singh, R., Rajput, R., Mishra, U. C., Chen, J. and Shi, J. (2021). Bioenergy and bio-products from bio-waste and its associated modern circular economy: Current research trends, challenges, and future outlooks. *Fuel*, 307:121859.
- Jiang, Y. (2023). Financing water investment for global sustainable development: Challenges, innovation, and governance strategies. *Sustainable Development*, 31:600-611.
- Liang, Z., Lai, Y., Li, M. *et al.* (2021). Applying regulatory science in traditional chinese medicines for improving public safety and facilitating innovation in China: a scoping review and regulatory implications. *Chinese Medicine*, 16:23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13020-021-00433-2>
- Mahjoub, B. and Domscheit, E. (2020). Chances and challenges of an organic waste-based bioeconomy. *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, 25:100388.
- Mukherjee, P. K., Das, B., Bhardwaj, P. K., Tampha, S., Singh, H. K., Chanu, L. D., Sharma, N. and Devi, S. I. (2023). Socio-economic sustainability with circular economy, an alternative approach. *Science of the Total Environment*, 904:166630.
- Mutezo, G. and Mulopo, J. (2021). A review of Africa's transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy using circular economy principles. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 137:110609.
- Nambiar, E. S. (2019). Tamm Review: Re-imagining forestry and wood business: Pathways to rural development, poverty alleviation and climate change mitigation in the tropics. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 448:160-173.
- Pandey, N. and Sagar, A. D. (2022). Beyond technology transfer: Innovation cooperation to advance sustainable development in developing countries. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, 11:e422.
- Pathirana, R. (2022). Sustainable Agro-Food Systems for Addressing Climate Change and Food Security. *Agriculture*, 12:1554.
- Perišić, M., Barceló, E., Imani, M. and Spasojević Brkić, V. (2021). The Role of Bioeconomy in the Future Energy Scenario: A State-of-the-Art Review. *Sustainability*, 14:560.
- Právělie, R. (2021). Exploring the multiple land degradation pathways across the planet. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 220:103689.
- Reim, W., Parida, V. and Sjödin, D. R. (2018). Circular Business Models for the Bio-Economy: A Review and New Directions for Future Research. *Sustainability*, 11:2558.
- Rial, R. C. (2024). Biofuels versus climate change: Exploring potentials and challenges in the energy transition. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 196:114369.
- Saini, P. and Mishra, P. (2024). Microbial Bioprospecting for Biorefinery Application: An Overview of a Sustainable and Renewable Source of Energy. In: *Bioprospecting of Microbial Resources for Agriculture, Environment and Bio-chemical Industry*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-63844-2_6
- Saka, N., Olanipekun, A. O. and Omotayo, T. (2021). Reward and compensation incentives for enhancing green building construction. *Environmental and Sustainability Indicators*, 11:100138.
- Salvador, R., Barros, M.V., Donner, M., Brito, P., Halog, A. and De Francisco, A.C. (2022). How to advance regional circular bioeconomy systems? Identifying barriers, challenges, drivers, and opportunities. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 32:248-269.
- Secundo, G., Ndou, V., Vecchio, P. D. and De Pascale, G. (2020). Sustainable development, intellectual capital and technology policies: A structured literature review and future research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 153:119917.

- Sharma, R. and Malaviya, P. (2023). Ecosystem services and climate action from a circular bioeconomy perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 175:113164.
- Stephenson, P. J. and Damerell, A. (2021). Bioeconomy and Circular Economy Approaches Need to Enhance the Focus on Biodiversity to Achieve Sustainability. *Sustainability*, 14:10643.
- Strielkowski, W., Civiń, L., Tarkhanova, E., Tvaronavičienė, M. and Petrenko, Y. (2020). Renewable Energy in the Sustainable Development of Electrical Power Sector: A Review. *Energies*, 14:8240.
- Swensson, L. and Tartanac, F. (2020). Public food procurement for sustainable diets and food systems: The role of the regulatory framework. *Global Food Security*, 25:100366.
- Tan, K. M., Babu, T. S., Ramachandaramurthy, V. K., Kasinathan, P., Solanki, S. G. and Raveendran, S. K. (2021). Empowering smart grid: A comprehensive review of energy storage technology and application with renewable energy integration. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 39:102591.
- Tarawneh, R. A. and Al-Najjar, K. (2023). Assessing the Impacts of Agricultural Loans on Agricultural Sustainability in Jordan. *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance (IOSR-JEF)*, 14:49-56.
- Tarawneh, R. A., Tarawneh, M. S. and Al-Najjar, K. (2022). Agricultural Policies among Advisory and Cooperative Indicators in Jordan. *International Journal of Research - GRANTHAALAYAH*, 10:10-17.
- Tarazona, N. A., Machatschek, R., Balcucho, J. *et al.* (2022). Opportunities and challenges for integrating the development of sustainable polymer materials within an international circular (bio)economy concept. *MRS Energy & Sustainability*, 9:28-34.
- Uzoh, I. M. and Babalola, O. O. (2018). Rhizosphere biodiversity as a premise for application in bioeconomy. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 265:524-534.
- Venkatesh, G. (2022). Circular Bio-economy—Paradigm for the Future: Systematic Review of Scientific Journal Publications from 2015 to 2021. *Circ.Econ.Sust*, 2:231-279.
- Vidhya, C. V., Nandhini, S., Sheela, J. M. and Reenaa, M. (2024). Bioenergy-Based Sustainable Bioeconomy—Perspectives and Challenges. In: Baskar, G., Ashokkumar, V., Rokhum, S.L., Moholkar, V.S. (eds) *Circular Bioeconomy Perspectives in Sustainable Bioenergy Production. Energy, Environment, and Sustainability*. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-2523-6_2
- Vogler, S., Salcher-Konrad, M. and Habimana, K. (2023). Interface policies bridging outpatient and hospital sectors in Europe: can cross-sectorial collaboration in reimbursement and procurement improve access to affordable medicines? *Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research*, 23:867-878.
- Wagh, M. S., Nath, P. C., Chakraborty, A., Amrit, R., Mishra, B., Mishra, A. K. and Mohanta, Y. K. (2024). Valorisation of agro-industrial wastes: Circular bioeconomy and biorefinery process – A sustainable symphony. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 183:708-725.
- Wei, X., Liu, Q., Pu, A., Wang, S., Chen, F., Zhang, L., Zhang, Y., Dong, Z. and Wan, X. (2022). Knowledge mapping of bioeconomy: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 373:133824.
- Wilkes, J. (2022). Reconnecting with Nature through Good Governance: Inclusive Policy across Scales. *Agriculture*, 12:382.
- Zhang, J., Qin, Q., Li, G. and Tseng, C. (2021). Sustainable municipal waste management strategies through life cycle assessment method: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 287:112238.

(Received: 12 October 2024, Revised: 10 January 2025, Accepted: 15 January 2025)