Vermicomposting of sugarcane bagasse and rice straw and its impact on the cultivation of *Phaseolus vulgaris L*. in Guyana, South America

Ansari, A.A.^{1*} and Jaikishun, S.²

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Kebbi State University of Science and Technology, Aliero, Kebbi State, Nigeria.

²Department of Biology, University of Guyana, Georgetown, Guyana, Nigeria.

Ansari, A.A. and Jaikishun, S. (2011). Vermicomposting of sugarcane bagasse and rice straw and its impact on the cultivation of *Phaseolus vulgaris L*. in Guyana, South America. Journal of Agricultural Technology 7(2): 225-234.

The present study was carried out during the year 2006-2007 at University of Guyana, Georgetown. Experiments were aimed at production and quantitative comparison and rate of production of vermicomposts from sugar cane bagasse, rice straw, and a combination of sugar cane bagasse and rice straw. Results indicated that the combination of bagasse and rice straw showed the highest percentage of production. The vermicomposts were assessed for nutrient value and subjected to study on plant growth parameters of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and were compared with treatments using cow dung and chemical fertilizer. The results indicated that vermicompost is a competitive biofertilizer and showed better growth patterns in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. than chemical fertilizers and can retain nutrients for longer period. *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. with vermicompost had better fruit quality in terms of physical dimension, biochemical constituents. There was significant improvement in the soil quality in the experimental plots with treated with vermicompost produced from bagasse and rice straw [BV+RSV]. The combination treatment [BV+RSV] was the found to be better suggesting qualitative improvement in the physical and chemical properties of the soil, which is substantiated by T-test and composite index in comparison to control and chemical fertilizers.

Key words: Vermicomposting, sugarcane bagasse, rice straw, earthworms, microbial interaction, Guyana

Introduction

Compost is becoming an important aspect in the quest to increase productivity of food in an environmentally friendly way. Vermicomposting offers a solution to tonnes of organic agro-wastes that are being burned by farmers and to recycle and reuse these refuse to promote our agricultural

^{*}Corresponding author: A.A. Ansari; e-mail: ansari_adil@hotmail.com

development in more efficient, economical and environmentally friendly manner. Both the sugar and rice industries burn their wastes thereby, contributing tremendously to environmental pollution thus, leading to polluted air, water and land. This process also releases large amounts of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, a main contributor to global warming together with dust particles. Burning also destroys the soil organic matter content, kills the microbial population and affects the physical properties of the soil (Livan and Thompson, 1997). Organic farming system is gaining increased attention for its emphasis on food quality and soil health. Vermicompost and vermiculture associated with other biological inputs have been actually used to grow vegetables and other crops successfully and have been found to be economical and productive (Ismail, 2005; Ansari and Ismail, 2008). The objective the study was to assess the plant productivity by the use of organic fertilizers in different combinations and the effect on soil physical and chemical parameters in comparison to control and chemical fertilizers.

Materials and methods

The present study was carried out during the year 2006-2007 at University of Guyana, Georgetown. The vermicomposting units were be set up at the University of Guyana compound.

Vermicomposting units were set up using vermitech pattern (Ismail, 2005). Plastic crates (60 cm x 45 cm x 45 cm) were used as the container and placed in a shaded elevated area as to facilitate the effective water drainage. A basal layer of vermibed comprising broken bricks then a layer of sand to the thickness of 6 to 7.5 cm was set up to ensure proper drainage. This was then covered with a layer of loamy soil up to the height of 15 cm, after it was moistened. Into this soil, 50 locally collected earthworms, *Eisenia foetida*, were inoculated. Small lumps of fresh or dry cattle dung was then scattered over the soil. The soil was then covered with 10 cm of agro waste. The entire unit was kept moist by sprinkling of water twice weekly and turned once weekly up to the 7th week.

Three units were set up as follows:

- Unit 1: 150 g Sugar cane bagasse + 1 kg of cow dung
- Unit 2: 150 g Rice straw + 1 kg of cow dung
- Unit 3: 75 g Rice straw + 75 g sugar cane bagasse + 1 kg cow dung

The vermicompost was then harvested and placed to air-dry for chemical analysis together with untreated soil and raw sugar cane bagasse and rice straw using standard procedure for pH, Organic carbon, Available nitrogen, Available phosphate, Calcium, and Magnesium (Homer, 2003). The analysis of the compost samples was done at Central Laboratory, Research Center, Agriculture Department, LBI Compound, GuySuCo. The analysis of food components from the plants and plant products were done at Food and Drug Analysts Department.

The pot experiments of *Phaseolus vulagris* L were placed using Randomized Block Design with replication for each treatment by using vermicomposts, cow dung, chemical fertilizers and chemical pesticide and a control. The pots were filled with sterilized dry soil (5 kg). Each pot was treated at the time of plantation, after three weeks and then just at the beginning of flowering period (4th week) as follows:

| Treatments: | Symbol | Amount added/ g |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| Soil | CON | No addition |
| Bagasse Vermicompost | BV | 100 |
| Rice Straw Vermicompost | RV | 100 |
| Bagasse + Rice Straw Vermicompost | BV + RSV | 100 |
| Cow Dung | CD | 100 |
| Chemical fertilizer (Urea) | CHM | 13.6 |

Growth parameters (number of leaves, plant height, number and distance between nodes) were taken after five week. Upon maturity the fruits were harvested, counted, weighed and preserved for analysis. Plants were then uprooted to record physical parameters. Fruits were subjected to quantitative estimation of protein and fat. Soil samples were subjected to chemical analysis (pH, EC_w , Organic carbon, Available nitrogen, Available phosphate, Calcium and Magnesium (Homer, 2003). Following statistical tools were applied to data recorded: t-Test and Composite index.

Results and discussion

The vermicomposts were harvested after a 50- day period for the first set. This period was reduced by 5 and 9 days, respectively for the other two harvests. There was consistent increase in the production of vermicomposts in each unit from each successive harvest (Table 1). This can be attributed to the increase in the population of the earthworms, each unit started with 300 earthworms. However, this increase was greater and even more consistent in the rice straw and bagasse + rice straw units. Composting of bagasse, rice straw and a combination of bagasse with rice straw were successful. However, the composting process of the combination was faster and the productivity was higher. For combination, the average productivity was 76% followed by rice straw with 74% and bagasse with 54%. Earthworms preferred cow dung along

with plant litter and other plant debris in combination thereby converting it into the organic matter rich vermicompost at faster rate (Ansari, 2007; Ismail, 2005; Ismail 1997; Atiyeh *et al.*, 2000; Edwards and Bohlen, 1996).

The pH remains slightly alkaline for all the samples but the most alkaline was the vermicompost from the combination of bagasse and rice straw BV +RSV (Table 2). Carbon is higher in CON as it was in undecomposed state. C: N ratio was observed to be 5.46, 6.91, 7.09 and 9.43 for RSV, BV + RSV, BV and CON, respectively. The C: N ratio is an indication of litter degradation in soils and it is an indicator of efficient and effective composting, the final value is important to determine the value of the finished compost as a soil amendment for growing crops. Carbon provides the primary energy source and nitrogen in critical for microbial growth. As the composting process proceeds the carbon content is expected to decrease because of it conversion to carbon dioxide while nitrogen is kept intact. Very high C: N ratio (above 80) greatly reduced the rate of natural composting. In this case, earthworms have effectively increased the rate and thus having a greater turnover (Stoffella and Kahn, 2000).

The greatest increase in plant height was observed with treatment BV+RSV followed by RSV then CD (Table 3). Vermicomposts contain more than just micro and macro nutrients; they also have essential growth promoter hormones like auxins, cytokinins, gibberllins, ethylene, and enzymes, vitamins that are microbially induced and excreted by earthworms along with useful microorganisms like bacteria (Ansari and Ismail, 2001; Edwards, 2004). Chemical fertilizers on the other hand constitute mainly macronutrients and soluble salts with no hormones. Also these nutrient have a high solubility rate as such a great deal is lost through leaching. This results in fast growth in the initial stages of planting and reduces subsequently due to lack of nutrients (Edwards and Bohlen, 1996; Stoffella and Kahn, 2000). Treatment CHM had a significant increase in the number of leaves followed by RSV, BV, BV + RSVthen CD (Table 3). The highest number of leaves in CHM is due to availability of nitrogen and phosphate in the chemical fertilizer. These fertilizers serve the purpose of "quick fix" with no regards for future consequences. The leaves had a higher surface area and were much greener. CHM treatment plant appeared healthier at the beginning but fade out to yellowing, evidence of lack of nutrients (Lalitha et al., 2000; Stoffella and Kahn, 2000). The treatment BV + RSV had the thickest circumference because of its ability to retain nutrition for longer periods (Lalitha et al., 2000; Stoffella and Kahn, 2000). As with the number of leaves, the nodal count was also highest in the CHM treated plants followed BV + RSV, BV, RSV, and then CD (Table 3).

Leaf abscission was minimum in treatment BV+RSV (Table 3). Vermicomposts consisted of nutrient that would promote such growth or had

microorganisms which made available the nutrients (Lalitha *et al.*, 2000). It is evident that chemical fertilizer disrupts natural biological activity in the soil. Activity of Rhizobium/ nitrifying bacteria is affected and inhibited (Lalitha *et al.*, 2000; Stoffella and Kahn, 2000, Ismail, 1995).

| Organic Material | Amount/ Kg | Cow dung / Kg | Average harvests / Kg | Average productivity/% |
|----------------------|------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bagasse | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.54 ± 0.14 | 54 |
| Rice Straw | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.74 ± 0.02 | 74 |
| Bagasse + Rice Straw | 0.2 + 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.76 ± 0.02 | 76 |

Table 1. Productivity of vermicomposts from the different materials.

The highest marketable yield of fruit was observed with treatment BV followed by RSV and BV + RSV (Table 4). Organic fertilizers have been known to promote growth of nitrogen fixing and phosphate solubilising bacteria. These are critical in plant overall growth thus, influencing fruit development (Stoffella and Kahn, 2000, Talashilkar and Power, 1998; Ismail, 1995). Protein content of the fruits was consistent for treatments CON, RSV, BV + RSV and CD while lower levels were observed in BV followed by CHM (Table 4). *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. is known to have high amount of protein content. The amount of fat present in the fruit was variable but consistent with all the treatments.

Soil pH significantly increased for all the vermicomposts treatments while CD had increase but not significant (Table 5). CHM resulted in a decrease in the pH thereby making the soil more acidic. Soil pH is one of the most important soil properties that affect the availability of nutrients. Macronutrients tend to be less available in soils with low pH and micronutrients tend to be less available in soils with high pH. Treatments BV + RSV, RSV and BV indicated significant increase in organic carbon (Table 6). Vermicomposts are rich in organic carbon content and have the ability to release these in to the soil very slowly. Significant increases in nitrogen were observed in BV may be attributed to enhanced activity of nitrogen fixing bacteria (Stoffella and Kahn, 2000; Lalitha et al., 2000) (Table 7). Significant increase was observed for treatments BV + RSV and RSV with regards to phosphates (Table 8). It may be because of the presence of phosphate solubilizing bacteria, which increase the phosphate content of the soil. There were significant increases in magnesium concentration for BV + RSV, RSV and BV (Table 9). All the samples except CHM indicated an increase in calcium concentration though not significant.

CHM had reduced amount because what ever little was there used up and there no replacement because it is highly soluble in Ca^{2+} form (Stoffella and Kahn, 2000, Edwards and Bohlen, 1996) (Table 10). Thus vermicompost produced from combination of sugarcane bagasse and rice straw showed better results in terms of productivity of vermicompost and its subsequent application on *Phaseolus vulgaris* L in many plant growth parameters and productivity levels that is a result of nutrients available in vermicompost BV + RSV that played critical role in improving soil quality (Kale, 1998). The treatment BV + RSV was highly significant with improvement of soil physical and chemical properties. Composite index is shown in Table 11.

Generally, the physiochemical properties of the rice straw and the combinations were conducive and enhance growth and yield of Phaseolus vulgaris L. The final soil analysis also indicated signs of improvement in nutrient content. It cab be concluded that growth parameters for chemical grown crops and organically grown crops are comparable. Chemical fertilizers, especially nitrogen based, shown spectacular growth and productivity in the field but this is short lived. The high level of these chemical added to the soil are not usually absorbed completely by the plants. The balance cannot remain in the soil for the next season. They are either leached or formed complexes with high levels of metal ions to form undesirable complexes (Kale, 1998). Constant use of chemical fertilizer increases leaching because of depletion of organic carbon (Stoffella and Kahn, 2000). On the other hand, a vermicompost form fine stable granular organic matter that assist in the aeration, released mucus that are hygroscopic absorbs water and prevents water logging and improves water holding capacity. Vermicompost added to the soil releases nutrient slowly and consistently and enables the plant to absorb these nutrients more readily. Soils enriched with vermicompost provide additional substances that are not found in the chemicals (Ansari and Ismail, 2001; Kale, 1998).

| Parameters | Con | BV | RSV | BV + RSV |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| pH(dSm ⁻¹) | 7.40 ± 0.01 | 7.28 ± 0.03 | 7.51 ± 0.01 | 7.59 ± 0.01 |
| EC | 1733.33 ± 24.09 | 533.33 ± 4.16 | 615.67 ± 7.09 | 563.67 ± 5.51 |
| Organic carbon% | 17.95 ± 0.73 | 6.52 ± 0.56 | 6.24 ± 0.46 | 6.64 ± 0.66 |
| Nitrogen (%) | 1.90 ± 0.03 | 0.92 ± 0.04 | 1.14 ± 0.06 | 0.96 ± 0.05 |
| Phosphate (ppm) | 190.78 ± 5.37 | 143.16 ± 1.94 | 178.97 ± 8.79 | 156.51 ± 19.29 |
| Calcium (ppm) | 5.65 ± 0.21 | 5.51 ± 0.04 | 6.35 ± 0.73 | 5.05 ± 0.06 |
| Magnesium (ppm) | 17.29 ± 2.11 | 16.43 ± 0.72 | 18.27 ± 2.02 | 14.66 ± 0.47 |
| Potassium (ppm) | 62.10 ± 0.46 | 147.83 ± 5.34 | 25.47 ± 2.27 | 174.00 ± 4.19 |
| Zinc (ppm) | 62.90 ± 0.21 | 69.96 ± 0.22 | 79.64 ± 0.36 | 68.90 ± 0.44 |
| Iron (ppm) | 36.32 ± 1.74 | 11.92 ± 0.93 | 12.17 ± 0.68 | 8.45 ± 0.50 |
| Copper (ppm) | 1.23 ± 0.05 | 0.52 ± 0.18 | 0.55 ± 0.07 | 0.42 ± 0.02 |
| Manganese (ppm) | 251.38 ± 4.89 | 59.69 ± 0.17 | 83.01 ± 0.45 | 54.19 ± 0.08 |

Table 2. Physico-chemical analysis of cow dung and vermicomposts (mean \pm SD).

Table 3. Plant morphometry (mean \pm SD).

| Treatments | Plant Height (cm) | Number of leaves/plant | Plant circumference (cm) | Number of nodes/plant | Leaf abscission/ plant |
|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| CON | 175.00 ± 15.72 | 67.00 ± 15.39 | 2.43 ± 0.21 | 21.67 ± 5.13 | 8.33 ± 0.58 |
| BV | 215.33 ± 14.64 | 92.00 ± 9.64 | 3.37 ± 0.31 | 30.00 ± 3.61 | 7.33 ± 1.53 |
| RSV | 247.67 ± 42.77 | 93.33 ± 1.53 | 3.73 ± 0.32 | 27.00 ± 6.08 | 7.33 ± 1.53 |
| BV + RSV | 250.67 ± 44.43 | 90.33 ± 8.62 | 3.99 ± 0.32 | 34.33 ± 153 | 6.67 ± 0.58 |
| CD | 227.67 ± 31.13 | 79.67 ± 8.62 | 2.30 ± 0.10 | 27.33 ± 3.79 | 7.67 ± 0.58 |
| CHM | 224.00 ± 73.78 | 111.00 ± 8.66 | 2.97 ± 0.12 | 36.33 ± 2.89 | 17.0 ± 1.41 |

| Table 4. Biochemical analysis of fruit and marketable yield (Mean \pm S | D). |
|--|-----|
| | |

| Treatments | Protein/% | Fat/% | Marketable yield (g/plant) |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| CON | 31.71 ± 0.47 | 2.14 ± 0.00 | 9.32 ± 0.94 |
| BV | 25.40 ± 2.69 | 2.13 ± 0.16 | 12.57 ± 1.61 |
| RSV | 31.65 ± 11.67 | 2.07 ± 0.06 | 11.30 ± 1.52 |
| BV + RSV | 31.55 ± 0.04 | 2.61 ± 0.42 | 9.90 ± 3.32 |
| CD | 31.51 ± 0.75 | 2.45 ± 0.11 | 6.15 ± 5.66 |
| CHM | 21.52 ± 1.00 | 2.25 ± 0.06 | 7.05 ± 1.94 |

Table 5. Soil pH (Mean \pm SD).

| Treatments | Initial soil | Final soil | Increase in pH |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| CON | 5.61 ± 0.04 | 5.61 ± 0.15 | 0.00 |
| BV} | 5.61 ± 0.04 | 6.11 ± 0.07 | 0.50 |
| RSV | 5.61 ± 0.04 | 6.19 ± 0.01 | 0.58 |
| BV + RSV | 5.61 ± 0.04 | 6.30 ± 0.10 | 0.69 |
| CD | 5.61 ± 0.04 | 5.85 ± 0.13 | 0.24 |
| CHM | 5.61 ± 0.04 | 5.31 ± 0.34 | -0.30 |

Table 6. Organic carbon % (Mean \pm SD).

| Treatments | Initial soil | Final soil | Increase in OC% |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| CON | 2.85 ± 0.71 | 6.53 ± 0.32 | 3.68 |
| BV | 2.85 ± 0.71 | 8.97 ± 0.69 | 6.12 |
| RSV | 2.85 ± 0.71 | 14.82 ± 1.53 | 11.97 |
| BV + RSV | 2.85 ± 0.71 | 18.68 ± 0.21 | 15.83 |
| CD | 2.85 ± 0.71 | 9.45 ± 2.67 | 6.60 |
| СНМ | 2.85 ± 0.71 | 8.94 ± 0.97 | 6.09 |

Table 7. Available nitrogen % (Mean \pm SD).

| Treatments | Initial soil | Final soil | Increase Available nitrogen % |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| CON | 0.90 ± 0.04 | 2.47 ± 0.3 | 1.57 |
| BV | 0.90 ± 0.04 | 3.52 ± 0.86 | 2.62 |
| RSV | 0.90 ± 0.04 | 2.38 ± 0.02 | 1.48 |
| BV + RSV | 0.90 ± 0.04 | 2.13 ± 0.41 | 1.23 |
| CD | 0.90 ± 0.04 | 1.87 ± 1.00 | 0.97 |
| CHM | 0.90 ± 0.04 | 1.94 ± 0.69 | 1.04 |

Table 8. Available phosphate (ppm) (Mean \pm SD).

| Treatments | Initial soil | Final soil | Increase in Available phosphate |
|------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| CON | 155.17 ± 26.15 | 20.17 ± 3.63 | -135 |
| BV | 155.17 ± 26.15 | 159.65 ± 86.51 | 4.48 |
| RSV | 155.17 ± 26.15 | 307.65 ± 10.71 | 152.48 |
| BV + RSV | 155.17 ± 26.15 | 559.37 ± 85.83 | 404.2 |
| CD | 155.17 ± 26.15 | 60.30 ± 53.62 | -94.87 |
| CHM | 155.17 ± 26.15 | 317.68 ± 106.29 | -162.51 |

- indicates decrease

| Treatments | Initial soil | Final soil | Increase in Mg |
|------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| CON | 11.83 ± 0.62 | 14.80 ± 0.18 | 2.97 |
| BV | 11.83 ± 0.62 | 17.34 ± 0.50 | 5.51 |
| RSV | 11.83 ± 0.62 | 18.18 ± 0.81 | 6.35 |
| BV + RSV | 11.83 ± 0.62 | 19.36 ± 1.06 | 7.53 |
| CD | 11.83 ± 0.62 | 14.88 ± 0.00 | 3.05 |
| CHM | 11.83 ± 0.62 | 13.94 ± 0.85 | 2.11 |

Table 9. Magnesium (ppm) (Mean \pm SD).

Table 10. Calcium (ppm) (Mean ± SD).

| Initial soil | Final soil | Increase in Ca |
|-----------------|---|--|
| 9.03 ± 0.19 | 9.75 ± 0.03 | 0.72 |
| 9.03 ± 0.19 | 9.82 ± 0.86 | 0.79 |
| 9.03 ± 0.19 | 10.38 ± 0.87 | 1.35 |
| 9.03 ± 0.19 | 10.60 ± 0.51 | 1.57 |
| 9.03 ± 0.19 | 15.80 ± 7.73 | 6.77 |
| 9.03 ± 0.19 | 9.01 ± 0.56 | -0.02 |
| | $\begin{array}{c} 9.03 \pm 0.19 \\ 9.03 \pm 0.19 \end{array}$ | 9.03 ± 0.19 9.75 ± 0.03 9.03 ± 0.19 9.82 ± 0.86 9.03 ± 0.19 10.38 ± 0.87 9.03 ± 0.19 10.60 ± 0.51 9.03 ± 0.19 15.80 ± 7.73 |

- indicates decrease

Table 11. Composite index based on soil chemical analysis.

| Treatments | рН | OC | Ν | Р | Mg | Ca | Composite index | Rank |
|------------|----|----|---|---|----|----|--------------------|------|
| CON | 5 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 28 | 5 |
| BV | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 18 | 3 |
| RSV | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 2 |
| BV + RSV | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 |
| CD | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 22 | 4 |
| CHM | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 34 | 6 |

Acknowledgement

The author express gratitude to University of Guyana, and Central Laboratory, Guyana Sugar Corporation Inc for the facilities and support rendered.

References

Ansari, A.A. (2007). Urban Planning and Environment –Strategies and Challenges. Macmillan India Ltd, New Delhi. pp: 277-279

- Ansari A.A. and Ismail, S.A. (2008). Reclamation of sodic soils through vermitechnology. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research. 21: 1-4: 92-97
- Ansari, A.A and Ismail, S.A. (2001). Vermitechnology in Organic Solid Waste Management. Journal of Soil Biology and Ecology 21:21-24
- Atiyeh, R.M., Dominguez, J., Subler, S. and Metzger, J.D. (2000). Earthworm-processed organic wastes as components of horticultural potting media for growing marigold and vegetable seedlings. Compost Science and Utilization
- Edwards, C.A. (2004). The Use of Earthworms in the Breakdown and Management of Organic Wastes. Florida. CRC Press
- Edwards, C.A. and Bohlen, P.J. (1996). Biology and Ecology of Earthworms. (3rd ed.), Chapman and Hall, London. 426p.
- Homer, F. (2003). Soil Analysis Manuel. Central Analytical and Environmental Monitoring Services, Agriculture Research Department. LBI, Guyana
- Ismail, S.A. (2005). The Earthworm Book. Other India Press, Mapusa, Goa. 101p.
- Ismail, S.A. (1997). Vermicology: The Biology of Earthworm. Calcutta: Orient Longman Press, Hyderabad. 92p.
- Ismail, S.A. (1995). Earthworm in soil fertility management. In Organic agriculture (Thampan, P.K. ed.). Peekay Tree Crops Development Foundation, Cochin, India. pp:77-100.
- Kale, R.D. 1998. Earthworm Cinderella of Organic Farming. Prism Book Pvt Ltd, Banglore, India. 88p.
- Lalitha R., Fathima K. and Ismail S.A. (2000). The impact of biopesticide and microbial fertilizers on productivity and growth of *Abelmoschus esculentus*. Vasundara The Earth.1 & 2:4-9.
- Livan, M.A. and Thompson, W. (1997). NARI Annual Report
- Stoffella, P.J. and Kahn, B.A. (2000). Compost Utilization in Horticultural Cropping Systems. London
- Talashilkar, S.C. and Power, A.G. (1998). Ectocology for pollution control and environmental management. Enviro Media, Karad

(Received 27 May 2010; accepted 8 March 2011)